

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,498	02/26/2004	Kouji Murakami	04121/LH	9099
1933	7590 03/03/2005		EXAMINER	
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 767 THIRD AVENUE			GRANT, R	OBERT J
	25TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK	, NY 10017-2023		2838	
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2009	ς .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		HF	}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Assistant Communication	10/789,498	MURAKAMI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Robert Grant	2838	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thin od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ The 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matt		
Disposition of Claims			
4)	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 February 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/789,498 Page 2

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1,3-4, and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamai in view of Toya et al. (5,654,622).

As to Claim 1, Tamai discloses an AC adapter for use in charging a secondary battery which is contained in or mounted on a main body, said AC adapter comprising (figure 9): a primary side circuit for turning (element 81), by using a switching element (element 85), an input DC voltage applied to a primary winding of a transformer on and off (Element 84); a secondary side circuit for rectifying and smoothing an AC voltage induced in a secondary winding of said transformer to produce an adapter voltage (Element 88); a voltage control circuit for detecting a variation of said adapter voltage to produce a voltage control signal (Element 96); a constant current control circuit for detecting a charging current flowing in said secondary side circuit to produce a constant current control signal (Element 97); a photocoupler for feeding said voltage control signal and said constant current control signal as a feedback signal back to said primary side circuit (Element 90); a switching control circuit for controlling, in response to said feedback signal, on and off of said switching element (Element 89); and detection means, disposed in said secondary side circuit, for detecting that said charging current

Art Unit: 2838

decreases less than a set current value to produce a detected signal (Column 8, lines 48-52). Tamai does not expressly discloses whereby said voltage control circuit operates so as to repeatedly operations comprising the steps of gradually lowering said adapter voltage and of heightening, in response to said detected signal, said adapter voltage by a predetermined voltage. Toya discloses voltage control circuit operates so as to repeatedly operations comprising the steps of gradually lowering said adapter voltage and of heightening, in response to said detected signal, said adapter voltage by a predetermined voltage (Column 2, lines 48-62). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to use Toya's charging method with Tamai's circuit design for controlling charging of a battery in order to create a battery charger capable of rapid charging and battery protection.

As to claim 3, Tamai in view of Toya discloses all of the limitations of claim 1 which claim 3 is dependent upon. Toya further discloses wherein said predetermined voltage is equal to 100 millivolts (Column 8, lines 15-21).

As to Claim 4, Tamai in view of Toya discloses all the limitations of claim 1, which claim 4 is dependent upon, Tamai discloses wherein the charger further comprising a reference voltage generating circuit (element 98) for supplying a reference voltage to said voltage control circuit (Element 96), said voltage control circuit controlling said adapter voltage so as to change said adapter voltage by changing said reference voltage by said reference voltage generating circuit (element 82).

Art Unit: 2838

As to Claim 6, Tamai discloses a method of charging, by using an adapter voltage, a secondary battery which is contained in or mounted on a main body, said method comprising the steps of: a) gradually lowering said adapter voltage (Column 7, lines 61-64); Tamai does not expressly disclose b) heightening said adapter voltage by a predetermined voltage when a charging current flowing through said secondary battery is less than a set current value; and c) repeating said steps a) and b). Toya teaches heightening said adapter voltage by a predetermined voltage when a charging current flowing through said secondary battery is less than a set current value (Toya illustrates in figure 6, where in the V1 is the first level of charge, then it there is a drop off after after V1 is obtained, then it charges up to E, followed by another drop off, and it repeats until V2 is reached) charges up to); and c) repeating said steps a) and b) (The steps of a and b are essentially repeated until the requirements of V2 are meet) (Column 2, lines 48-62). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to use Toya's charging method with Tamai's circuit design for controlling charging of a battery in order to create a battery charger with a rapid charge rate as well as battery protection.

As to claim 7, Tamai in view of Toya discloses all of the limitations of claim 6 which claim 7 is dependent upon. Toya further discloses wherein said predetermined voltage is equal to 100 millivolts (Column 8, lines 15-21).

3. Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamia in view of Toya in further view of Sato et al (US 6,246,890).

Art Unit: 2838

As to claim 2, Tamia in view of Toya discloses all the limitations of claim 1, which claim 2 is dependent upon. Tamia in view of Toya do not expressly disclose wherein said main body comprises a portable telephone set. Sato discloses wherein said main body comprises a portable telephone set (figure 1, Element 11). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to replace Sato's charger, which is inside a portable phone with Tamai in view of Toya's charger design in order to provide the portable phone with a charger that is more suited to control charging conditions.

As to claim 5, Tamia in view of Toya disclose all the limitations of claim 4, which claim 5 is dependent upon. Tamia in view of Toya do not expressly disclose wherein said main body comprises a portable telephone set. Sato discloses wherein said main body comprises a portable telephone set (figure 1, Element 11). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to replace Sato's charger, which is inside a portable phone with Tamai in view of Toya's charger design in order to provide the portable phone with a charger that is more suited to control charging conditions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Grant whose telephone number is 571-272-2727. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,498

Art Unit: 2838

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on 571-272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RG

MICHAEL SHERRY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Mry 2/22/05